Previous references
Ed^{n} 315 of 12
1614

1914-15
(Education)

File No: 376/13
Serial Nos: 1 to 5

Later references
Edn 33-14
Rs 83, 92 "
7-19-1914
Leg 57-75-1515-16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1 to 5 ✓

Part B for August 1914

SUBJECT

Building and other lump sum grants to Educational Institutions, during the year 1914-15

Cl 1 Letter No 13357/701 dated 15th April 1914 from the D of Education submitting for sanction a list of Ed^{nl} Institutions recommended for building and other lump sum grants during 1914-15

Cl 5 Govt Proceedings No 1561-2/Ed^{n} 376-13-5 dated 12th August 1914 to the D of Ed^{n} & the Comm^{r} in Passing Orders on the above

G R S 58

Rangoon Superintendent

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Edn)

NOTES

Edn File No 376-13

FILE HEADING

Building and allied grants to Schools for 1914 15

From

The D I of Education in Ally States

No 13357 Dated 15 6 14 Received 18 6 14

Diary No 5998 | Enclos one Statement Spare Copies nil | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Submits a list of institutions recommended for building and allied lump sum grants during 1914 15 amounting in all to Rs 21605 and requests that the grants may be sanctioned and included in the Edn Budget for 1914 15

NOTES AND ORDERS

Flag B

Edn file 315 of 1912 Ns 1 to 4 relating to the grant for 1913 14 to Jubbulpore the normal provision for the purpose is only Rs 5000 and the (amount alone) has been provided by the D I of Edn in the budget for 1914 15 The present list comes to Rs 21605 and they express

NOTES—First Sheet

5996

G R S 1°]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Edu File No 376 of 1913

Serial No

excess amount over and above Rs 5000 that may be sanctioned will have to be included in the Budget for 1914 15

(2) A copy (gazette dated 5 2 14) of the recent revised grant rules is submitted for reference

Pg 7

(3) There are 19 items in the list submitted of which

Put up after

(a) item (6) is one already sanctioned in 1909

(b) item No (3), in locality is said to be very unhealthy but the ground for extending the building there is however recommended

Sanction may probably be refused in this case

(c) items Nos (13) and (11), plans and estimates not furnished as required by rules see the case of item (11) the estimated cost has not been given

Inspection of these may be ordered & the insisted upon, before grant is paid

(d) item No (18) Wesleyan Mission Kumarakoil girls school Chamrajpet Bly Sore the Govt State that this was included in the last year but it is not found in the list for 1913 14

may nevertheless be sanctioned

(e) item No (19) Students Home chenna patna The file relating to this is pending orders separately

All the other items are admissible under the rules

If Subh for orders Pt II may be consulted

12 5 14

NOTE—C utn sheet

B—GPB—60,000—7 11 13

5998 (3

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Edn File No. 376 of 1913

Serial No

(4) Two forms of Agreement

Form IV)

Form 13/5

47 14/5

H Govt 1423

Subd

(5) The list has been carefully examined and the following points are subd for consideration

1) Rule VII 1 of the new Grant-in-Aid Code states that " Grants under Rule IV (d) shall not ordinarily exceed one-third of the total expenditure in the case of Secondary Schools or one-half of the total expenditure in the case of Elementary and Technical Schools", but Rule VII 2 states "that the amount finally disbursed shall ordinarily be one-third of the actual cost of the completed work as certified to by an officer of the P W Deptt, and shall in no case exceed one-third of the cost according to the originally approved estimate" It is therefore not clear whether Rule VII 1 or Rule VII 2 should be followed in checking the proposed grants In items 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 12 and 19 the proposed grants exceed one-third of the estimate

Yes this will remove the inconsistency

Subd The words (as the case may be) seem perhaps to be missed (See below 1 one third and as the earlier rule

Then will be noted upon Separately

Approved EG

(6) The two rules are Journally in Consistent & one or other requires Revision Rule VII (2) has been the more reasonable

2) In item 4 in the list a grant of Rs 500 is proposed to be given to the Deaf and Dumb

NOTE—C ntn sheet

GPB—C8 000—7 11 13

Serial No

Subs
Obs sheets (2 appears) unnumbered and not secured as one containing under Rule IV

23/5/14

Cannot be sanctioned

4

I am not sure whether the term "educational buildings" will not cover teachers' quarters attached to a school In some localities it may be very necessary to provide quarters for teachers

No

CSB 20/6/14

4

Sent to deal with the Dy Inspectors recommendations

The Dy Inspectors recommendations ought to be given effect to except where they are in the opinion of the Subordinate Govt

Dy

School, Rupore, towards the cost of deputing 2 teachers to Calcutta for training Grants for this purpose are regulated by Rules IV (g) and VIII These rules do not provide for the grant of aid of the kind now proposed nor is there any general reservation of power for making such grants as the heads to which grants may be made have been specified. It is therefore not clear if this grant may be sanctioned

3) In item 1 in the list grants have been proposed for works carried out in respect of teachers' quarters The Dy Inspr himself doubts as to whether "teachers' quarters" may be considered to be items for which grants can be made There is no rule justifying the grant recommended It is therefore doubtful if this grant can be sanctioned

4) In the following items the grants recommended by the Dy Inspr exceed the grant recommended by the Dy Inspector and no reasons have been adduced by the Dy Inspr as to why the increased grant should be given Items Nos 5 & 8. If the grants are to be sanctioned they may be cut down to the Dy Inspector's limit of Rs 400 and

CSB 16/6/14

NOTE—Contn sheet

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Edn File No 376 of 1913

Serial No

500 respectively which is exactly one-third of the estimate

5) In items Nos 10, 11 and 13 estimates have not been given. Hence the grants recommended cannot be sanctioned under Rule VII 1. The amount to be disallowed comes up to Rs 1320.

6) Item No 16 The grant in this case was not sanctioned last year but was ordered to be reserved for further consideration The Admve Branch does not state whether the matter was considered further or not The grant recommended in this case viz Rs 5000 cannot be sanctioned without special orders on the subject

The amounts in cases 16, 17 & 19 may be provided in the budget but they maybe disbursed only after separate orders in each case

6 The Dfl requests that the grants may be sanctioned and their inclusion in the budget ordered In this connection it is submitted that there is a lump provision of one lakh in the current year's budget for building grant to Village School Funds and this grant is proposed to be increased to 1½ lakhs during the next year If the increased grant of 1½ lakhs is to be sanctioned it is a point for consideration why the amount required in the present case cannot be met from that grant

16/5/14 (7) Please see marginal remarks - I am afraid the Hon Deptt

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 376 of 1913

Serial No

Jmw/423

have no definite plan of work and they are shooting up applications for large parts which will in the absence of a plan work out in all details & some plans seem in confusion Heavy large grants appear even the approval of triennial programme & cash which certainly err on the side of liberality have been made & requests for addl funds are pouring in I would suggest that detailed plans & estimates should be insisted upon & no funds should be released unless called for & sanctioned rather than further sums allotted that there will be large lapses is no satisfaction on the other hand as a matter of fact we have control by Dts Neither have we funds than what is actually required for & spent in one year & allotments & grants should be made as nearly as possible to the extent recommended by Dts

(8) The Fmd Deptt after note of the several cases fully Grants which come within the rules & which do not appertain to Village Schools & may be sanctioned to the extent recommended by Dts

(for which there is a separate grant)

NOTL—Contn sheet

GPB—60 000—7 1913

R S 12]

5998 (9)

General and Revenue Secretariat | 2du File No 376 of 191[illegible]

Serial No

(9) Subd in the Br II opinion
Rs 2.3 S 14
Rs

(10) Draft order

Telta a sp Br II meg receive
in the f+ + 9d
receive in + N +
on 8 k 14

SPM 23/5

(11) Draft Subd

With reference to (para 8) the remarks of the Jt Secy about village Schools for which a separate grant is said to exist, there Subd that none of the Schools mentioned in the list are grant in aid Schools and not village Govt Schools and there is no separate provision for grants to such Schools, except a lakh Rs 1.76 lakh provided for village School buildings
Rs

(12) + draft order + facility of reference is put up in which only those items agreed to by Br II are included + a few more are included

(13) Orders are required as regards items Nos (1) (3) (4) (7) (10) (11), (13), of the 29's list- and (16) to (19)

Secy
GA

SPM 18/6

(14) I have added a few marginal remarks instead of the present system of

NOTL—Contn sheet

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

5778 (8)

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Edn File No 376 of 1913

Serial No

Govt passing orders in each single item & providing a grant varying every year it is better to provide in the budget a certain sum of money for being disbursed as grants-in aid for buildings & other expenditure of a non-recurring nature. The sum may be fixed at 25000 Rs a year Suitable orders may issue as to the powers of the DI to disburse these grants under the Rules in the grant-in-aid code.

Such a procedure will minimise the tendency - now perceptible when each case comes up to Govt - to treat each case as an exception.

1st Member) CSS 20/7/14

(15) Draft appd by First Member 20 7 14

(K) Dewan)

385 22/7/14

ESS 21/7/14

Draft is approved The suggestion made by the Secretary I & A in paragraph 14 of the notes may be worked up separately and submitted for orders through the First Member

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

RC 4 8 14

Extract taken separately for necessary issue of orders

Issue draft Extract notes & prepare separate order CSS 4/8/14

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Copy File No 376 of 1913-14

Serial No 5-

Collie DG Coal & Coke 1561 2 & 62 & 14

Sanctions lump sum grants for building & other purposes amounting to Rs 10790 to certain institutions in 1914-15-

NOTE—Contn sheet

C PR—10 000 13 6 14

D 5998 1 Edn 376

Edn 81]

OFFICL OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORL Edn 376-15 Serial 1

No 13357 / 701 Enc 1 Bangalore 15th April 191

From Rao Bahadur
M SHAMA RAO ESQ MA
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To
C S Balasundaram Iyer Esq B A
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Departments of Education & Agriculture
Bangalore

SIR

I have the honour to submit herewith a list of applications ~~mf~~ for Building Grants for the year 1914-15 and to state that the necessary particulars relating to these grants have been stated in the column of Remarks in the list

The amount recommended for the grants comes to Rs 21,605 in all and I request that Government may be pleased to sanction this amount and order its inclusion in the State Funds Budget of the Education Department for the next official year 1914-15

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M Shama Rao
Inspector General

TNR

ab

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

---

Read -

Letter No 13,357 / 701 dated 15th April 1914 from the Inspector General of Education in Mysore submitting for sanction a list of Educational institutions recommended for building and other lump sum grants during 1914-15 amounting in all to Rs 21,605

Order thereon

No 1561-2 / EDn376-13-5 dated 12-8- ~~July~~ 1914

Government are pleased to sanction lump sum grants for building and other purposes, to the following institutions in 1914-15 and the total sum involved viz , Rs 10,790/- will be met from the provision of Rs 21,605 made in the Education State Fund Budget for 1914-15 for "building grants"

Name of Institution	Amount of grant recommended	Amount of grant sanctioned	Purpose
1 M E Mission A V School, Kolar	Rs 150/-	Rs 150/-	For paving the floor of the school buildings with cuddapah slabs
2 Wesleyan Mission Girls' School, Shimoga	Rs 500/-	Rs 500/-	For enlarging the present building
3 Wesleyan Mission Boys' School, Petta, Shimoga	Rs 130/-	Rs 130/-	Paving the floor of the School building with Cuddappah slabs (Amount already sanctioned in 1909 but allowed to lapse)
4 Kunchitigar A V School, Mysore	Rs 5000/-	Rs 5000/-	

5

	Name of Institution	Amount of grant recommended	Amount of grant sanctioned	Purpose
5	Wesleyan Mission Girls' School, Gubbi	Rs 650/-	Rs 650/-	For construction of two additional rooms to the school building
6	Wesleyan Mission A V School, Gubbi	Rs 200/-	Rs 200/-	For alteration of the building to fit it for the Infant Department
7	Wesleyan Mission Girls' School, Tiptur	Rs 70/-	Rs 70/-	For paving the floor with cuddappah slab
8	Wesleyan Mission Boys' School, Hebbur	Rs 250/-	Rs 250/-	For enlargement of the present building
9	Sanskrit School, Muttur	R 250/-	Rs 250/-	For construction of a new building for the school
10	London Mission A V School, Anekal	Rs 1,000/-	Rs 1,000/-	For construction of a new building
11	Roman Catholic Boys' School, Harohalli, Kankanhalli Taluk	Rs 500/-	Rs 500/-	For construction of a new building so as to accommodate more children
12	St Mary's A V School, Champion Reefs, Kolar	Rs 1900/-	Rs 1900/-	For rebuilding the present structure as it is in a dangerous condition
13	Wesleyan Mission Kannada Girls' School, Chamarajapet, Mysore	Ps 370/-	Rs 370/-	

10970

Secretary to Government, E & A

(Draft has been approved by the 1st Member) 4/8/14

To

The I G of Education

The Comptroller to Government Through Branch II